

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/786,024	07/05/2001	Long Yu	A34054 PCTUSA	3983	
21003 7.	590 08/01/2003				
BAKER & BOTTS 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			EXAMINER		
			FRONDA, CHRISTIAN L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1652	97	
			DATE MAILED: 08/01/2003	<i>O</i> -)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			·						
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/786,0	24	YU ET AL.					
		Examine	7	Art Unit					
		Christian		1652					
The MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspond nce address Period for Reply									
'A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1) Responsiv	e to communication(s) fil	ed on							
2a) ☐ This action	is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claim									
	<u>3,6-11 and 15-18</u> is/are p								
_ `	bove claim(s) is/a		ensideration.						
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,6-9,15 and 18</u> is/are allowed.								
<u> </u>	6) Claim(s) <u>10,16 and 17</u> is/are rejected.								
	7) Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
8) Claim(s) Application Papers	are subject to restric	tion and/or election i	equirement.						
	ation is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)⊠ All b)⊡	Some * c) None of:								
. 1.⊠ Certif	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certif	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
	s Cited (PTO-892) on's Patent Drawing Review (P re Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa			v (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/11/2003 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 6-11, and 15-18 are under consideration in this Office Action.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 17 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claim 10. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd Paragraph

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim is vague and indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation at the end of the claim after part (c), "wherein said nucleotide sequence comprises nucleotides 81-521 of SEQ ID NO: 3", is a part of the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

Art Unit: 1652

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hillier et al. Claim 16 is anticipated by Hillier et al. (Accession AA398583) since Hillier et al. teach a nucleotide sequence which encodes a protein that has amino acids 19-146 of SEQ ID NO: 4 and in absence of facts to the contrary inherently has lysozyme activity (see alignment enclosed in previous Office Action).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

9. Claims [10, 16, and 17] are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guan et al. (US Patent 5,643,758) in view of Hillier et al. (Accession AA398583).

The teachings of Guan et al. (US Patent 5,643,758) have been stated in the previous Office Action. The teachings of Hillier et al. (Accession AA398583) have been stated above.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to insert the nucleotide sequence taught by Hillier et al. (Accession AA398583) into the expression vector taught by Guan et al. (US Patent 5,643,758) and transform the vector into a host cell such as *E.coli* or a eukaryotic cell as taught by Guan et al. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to do this in order to express, isolate, and purify the protein encoded by the nucleic acid taught by Hillier et al. wherein the

Art Unit: 1652

protein has lysozyme activity. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have had a reasonable expectation for success because the methods and products taught by Guan et al. are useful for purifying virtually any hybrid polypeptide molecule employing recombinant techniques and Guan et al. teach the successful expression, isolation, and purification of beta-galactosidase (**EXAMPLE I**) and PstI restriction endonuclease (**EXAMPLE II**). Thus, the claimed invention was within the ordinary skill in the art to make and use at the time was made, and was as a whole clearly prima facie obvious.

Claim Objections

10. Claim 11 is again objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 11. Claims 1, 3, 6-9, 15, and 18 are allowed.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian L. Fronda whose telephone number is (703)305-1252. The Examiner can be contacted Monday-Friday from 8:30AM 5:00PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapura Achutamurthy, can be reached at (703)308-3804. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0196.

CLF

PONNATHAPU ACHUVAMURTHY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600